



# Recursive maps for derangements and nonderangements

Melanie Ferreri<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>Dartmouth College *Based on Joint Work with Peter Doyle*

## Definitions

A *derangement* is a permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  such that for all  $i \in [n]$ ,

$$\sigma(i) \neq i.$$

We denote by  $D_n$  the set of derangements on  $n$  elements, and let  $d_n = \#D_n$ . We denote by  $E_n$  the set of permutations of  $n$  elements with exactly one fixed point, and let  $e_n = \#E_n$ .

## Recurrence relations

Two well-known recurrences for derangements are

$$d_n = (n-1)d_{n-1} + (n-1)d_{n-2} \quad (1) \quad \text{and} \quad d_n = nd_{n-1} + (-1)^n \quad (2)$$

with  $d_0 = 1$  and  $d_1 = 0$ . It can also be shown that

$$e_n = nd_{n-1}. \quad (3)$$

In view of equation (3), we can rewrite (1) as follows:

$$d_n = (n-1)d_{n-1} + e_{n-1}. \quad (4)$$

Also, substituting (3) into (2), we obtain

$$d_n = e_n + (-1)^n. \quad (5)$$

## Bijections exhibiting recurrences

### Notation

We make use of some notation provided in [2]: Given a permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  and  $a \in [n]$ , we denote by  $\sigma \setminus a$  the permutation given by removing  $a$  from the cycle notation of  $\sigma$ .

Equation (3) can be proven via the map

$$f_n : [n] \times D_{n-1} \rightarrow E_n \quad \text{with} \quad f_n^{-1} : E_n \rightarrow [n] \times D_{n-1}$$

$$(m, \sigma) \mapsto (mn)\sigma(mn), \quad \tau \mapsto (a, (an)\tau(an) \setminus n),$$

where  $a$  is the unique fixed point of  $\tau$ . The map  $f_n$  constructs a permutation with exactly one fixed point by replacing  $m$  with  $n$  in the cycle notation of  $\sigma$  and fixing  $m$  if  $m < n$ , and otherwise simply appending the one-cycle  $(n)$ . The map  $f_n^{-1}$  essentially swaps the fixed point of  $\tau$  with  $n$  and then removes  $(n)$  to get a permutation in  $D_{n-1}$ .

For  $n > 1$ , equation (4) can be proven by exhibiting a bijection between  $D_n$  and  $([n-1] \times D_{n-1}) \cup E_{n-1}$ . Let

$$\varphi_n : D_n \rightarrow ([n-1] \times D_{n-1}) \cup E_{n-1} \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi_n^{-1} : ([n-1] \times D_{n-1}) \cup E_{n-1} \rightarrow D_n$$

$$\sigma \mapsto \begin{cases} (\sigma(n), \sigma \setminus n) & \text{if } \sigma \setminus n \in D_{n-1} \\ \sigma \setminus n & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad (m, \sigma) \mapsto (nm)\sigma$$

$$\tau \mapsto (an)\tau.$$

Removing  $n$  from the cycle notation of a derangement yields a permutation in  $E_{n-1}$  exactly when  $n$  was in a transposition in  $\sigma$ , so the first case of  $\varphi_n$  occurs exactly when  $n$  is not in a transposition in  $\sigma$ . The inverse map  $\varphi_n^{-1}$  sends a pair  $(m, \sigma)$  to the permutation  $(nm)\sigma$ , which essentially adds  $n$  in the cycle notation of  $\sigma$  before  $m$ . In the second case,  $\varphi_n^{-1}$  sends elements  $\tau \in E_{n-1}$  to the permutation obtained by inserting  $n$  into a cycle with the unique fixed point of  $\tau$ . The proof of relation (2) is by induction using equation (1).

## Obtaining new maps

We will use the map  $\varphi_n$  to obtain a bijection showing of the relation (5). This yields a map which is conjugate to the one presented in [2]; here we show how such a map can be deduced using the bijective proof of the two-term identity (4).

### Definition

Define  $\pi_n \in S_n$  to be the product of disjoint simple transpositions  $(1\ 2)(3\ 4) \cdots (n-1\ n)$  if  $n$  is even, and if  $n$  is odd,  $\pi_n = (1\ 2)(3\ 4) \cdots (n-2\ n-1)(n)$ . Let  $\Pi_n$  denote the singleton set  $\{\pi_n\}$ . Define  $\pi_{n,j}$  to be a permutation on  $[n] \setminus [j-1]$  given by

$$\pi_{n,j} = \begin{cases} (j\ j+1) \cdots (n-1\ n) & \text{if } n-j+1 \text{ is even} \\ (j\ j+1) \cdots (n-2\ n-1)(n) & \text{if } n-j+1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

## Recursive maps

### Notation

Let  $A, B$  be disjoint sets. Given functions  $f : A \rightarrow C$  and  $g : B \rightarrow D$ , we define

$$f \oplus g : A \cup B \rightarrow C \cup D$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in A \\ g(x) & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases}$$

Also, denote by  $()$  the empty permutation from  $\emptyset$  to  $\emptyset$ .

We will define a map  $\alpha_n$  that takes  $D_n \rightarrow E_n \cup \Pi_n$  if  $n$  is even, and if  $n$  is odd,  $\alpha_n : D_n \cup \Pi_n \rightarrow E_n$ . Here are the bases cases:

$$\alpha_0 : D_0 \rightarrow E_0 \cup \Pi_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1 : D_1 \cup \Pi_1 \rightarrow E_1$$

$$() \mapsto \pi_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1 \mapsto (1).$$

For  $n > 1$ , we define the maps  $\alpha_n$  and  $\alpha_n^{-1}$  recursively. If  $n$  is even,  $\alpha_n : D_n \rightarrow E_n \cup \Pi_n$  is as follows:

$$\alpha_n : D_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} ([n-1] \times D_{n-1}) \cup E_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}_{[n-1] \times D_{n-1}} \oplus \alpha_{n-1}^{-1}} ([n-1] \times D_{n-1}) \cup D_{n-1} \cup \Pi_{n-1}$$

$$\xrightarrow{g_n^{-1} \oplus \ell_n^{-1}} [n] \times D_{n-1} \cup \Pi_n \xrightarrow{f_n \oplus \mathbb{1}_{\Pi_n}} E_n \cup \Pi_n$$

where  $\ell_n : \Pi_n \rightarrow \Pi_{n-1}$  sends  $\pi_n$  to  $\pi_n \setminus n = \pi_{n-1}$  and  $g_n$  is the map which takes an ordered pair  $(m, \sigma)$  and removes the first coordinate if  $m = n$ . If  $n$  is odd, we similarly define

$\alpha_n : D_n \cup \Pi_n \rightarrow E_n$  as follows:

$$\alpha_n : D_n \cup \Pi_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n \oplus \ell_n} ([n-1] \times D_{n-1}) \cup E_{n-1} \cup \Pi_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}_{[n-1] \times D_{n-1}} \oplus \alpha_{n-1}^{-1}} [n-1] \times D_{n-1} \cup D_{n-1}$$

$$\xrightarrow{g_n^{-1}} [n] \times D_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_n} E_n.$$

The inverse maps are given by reversing the arrows.

Following through the recursive definitions of the maps, we can show how to directly obtain the image of  $\alpha_n$  depending on two possible cases for the input derangement. Using this combinatorial description, we see that  $\alpha_n$  is conjugate to the map  $\psi_n$  in [2] by an involution on  $S_n$  given by “cycle-reversing” permutations. It follows that the combinatorial proof in [2] can be derived from the combinatorial proof for the identity (4).

If we modify the map  $\alpha_n$  and extend its domain to  $D_n \cup E_n$ , we obtain an involution on all of  $S_n$  which exchanges the elements of each subset except for  $\pi_n$ .

## Definition of $\lambda_n : D_n \cup E_n \rightarrow D_n \cup E_n$

If  $\sigma = \pi_n$ , then  $\lambda_n$  sends  $\sigma$  to itself. If  $\sigma$  has any fixed point  $m$ , we add the 2-cycle  $(n+1\ m)$ . The  $n+1$  is a placeholder and will be discarded after applying the map to  $\sigma$ . Let  $N = n$  if  $n+1$  was not added as a placeholder, and otherwise let  $N = n+1$ . Then look at the cycle notation of  $\sigma$ , and find the smallest  $j$  such that

$$\sigma = \delta \circ \pi_{N,j}$$

for  $\delta \in D_{j-1}$ , having no copy of  $\pi_{j-1,i}$  at the end. If there is no pattern of simple transpositions like this, we let  $j = N+1$ . Then the image of  $\sigma$  is as follows.

Case 1. If  $\delta$  has  $j-1$  in a 2-cycle, we have

$$\sigma = (\cdots j-2 \cdots)(j-1\ a) \circ \pi_{N,j}$$

and its image is

$$\lambda_n(\sigma) = (\cdots j-2\ a \cdots)(j-1\ j) \circ \pi_{N,j+1}$$

where any values above  $n$  are excluded from the cycle notation.

Case 2. If  $\delta$  does not have  $j-1$  in a 2-cycle, we have

$$\sigma = (\cdots j-1\ a \cdots) \circ \pi_{N,j}$$

and its image is

$$\lambda_n(\sigma) = (\cdots j-1 \cdots)(j\ a) \circ \pi_{N,j+1}.$$

Again, any values above  $n$  are excluded from the cycle notation. We can check that this defines an involution on  $D_n \cup E_n$ .

## Proposition

Let  $\sigma \in D_n \cup E_n$ , with  $\sigma \neq \pi_n$ . If  $\sigma \in D_n$ , then  $\lambda_n(\sigma) \in E_n$ . If  $\sigma \in E_n$ , then  $\lambda_n(\sigma) \in D_n$ . Also,  $\lambda_n(\lambda_n(\sigma)) = \sigma$ .

## Examples ( $n = 5$ ):

$\lambda_5((12)(345))$ :  $j = 6$ ,  $j-1 = 5$  which is not in a 2-cycle, so we send it to  $(12)(3)(45)$ .

$\lambda_5((12)(3)(45))$ : First we change it to  $(12)(36)(45)$ , adding the 6 as a placeholder. Then  $j = 7$ , so  $j-1 = 6$ , which is in a 2-cycle. It gets sent to  $(12)(345)$ .

$\lambda_5((1234)(5))$ :  $j = 5$ , so  $j-1 = 4$ , which is not in a 2-cycle. This gets sent to  $(15)(234)$ .

$\lambda_5((15)(234))$ :  $j = 6$ , so  $j-1 = 5$ , which is in a 2-cycle. This gets sent to  $(1234)(5)$ .

## An involution on $S_n$

From the recursive maps, we obtained a combinatorial description of  $\alpha_n$  that was then extended to  $\lambda_n$ , which fixes  $\pi_n$  and exchanges the other elements of  $D_n$  and  $E_n$ . Using this, we can define a map on the entire symmetric group

$$\Lambda_n : S_n \rightarrow S_n$$

$$\sigma \mapsto \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma \notin D_n \cup E_n \\ \lambda_n(\sigma) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which is an involution on  $S_n$ .

## Nonderangements

### Definition

Let  $\overline{D}_n$  denote  $S_n \setminus D_n$ , the set of nonderangements of  $[n]$ . Similarly let  $\overline{E}_n$  denote  $S_n \setminus E_n$ , the set of permutations of  $[n]$  which do not have exactly one fixed point.

Having found the bijection  $\alpha_n : D_n \rightarrow E_n (\pm \Pi_n)$ , we can use the method of subtracting maps described in [1] to obtain a map

$$\overline{\alpha}_n : \overline{D}_n \rightarrow \overline{E}_n (\mp \Pi_n).$$

The map is given by subtracting  $\alpha_n$  from the identity on  $S_n$ . We begin with  $\sigma \in \overline{D}_n$ . If  $\sigma \in \overline{E}_n$  as well, that means  $\sigma$  has at least 2 fixed points. So  $\sigma$  is already in  $\overline{E}_n$ , so when applying the identity,  $\sigma$  lands where we want it to land. So we can just map  $\sigma$  to itself. Otherwise,  $\sigma \notin \overline{E}_n$ , which means  $\sigma \in E_n$ . In this case, we apply  $\alpha_n^{-1}$  to obtain some permutation  $\sigma' \in D_n \subseteq \overline{E}_n$ .

## Description of the nonderangement map

In summary, the description of  $\overline{\alpha}_n$  is as follows:

$$\overline{\alpha}_n : \overline{D}_n \rightarrow \overline{E}_n (\mp \Pi_n)$$

$$\sigma \mapsto \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma \in \overline{E}_n \\ \alpha_n^{-1}(\sigma) & \text{if } \sigma \in E_n. \end{cases}$$

With the special case where  $\sigma = \pi_n$ , we only have that  $\pi_n \in \overline{D}_n$  when  $n$  is odd. In this case,  $\pi_n$  gets sent to itself and we have  $\overline{E}_n \cup \Pi_n$  as the image of  $\overline{\alpha}_n$ . If  $n$  is even, then  $\pi_n \in \overline{E}_n$  since  $\pi_n$  is a derangement. However, there is nothing in  $\overline{D}_n$  that maps to  $\pi_n$ , so in this case the image of  $\overline{\alpha}_n$  is  $\overline{E}_n \setminus \Pi_n$ .

## References

- P. Doyle. *Let's reinvent subtraction*. 2021.
- S. Elizalde. *A simple bijective proof of a familiar derangement recurrence*. 2020. arXiv: 2005.11312 [math.CO].
- J. B. Remmel. *A note on a recursion for the number of derangements*. 1983.